

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
10

11 THERMOLIFE INTERNATIONAL,  
12 LLC

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 BETTER BODY SPORTS, LLC, et al,

16 Defendants.

CASE NO. CV12-09229 GAF (FFMx)

17 **ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION**  
18 **TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND**  
19 **TO INITIAL COMPLAINT BY NOT**  
20 **MORE THAN 30 DAYS (L.R. 8-3)**

21 Complaint served: November 15, 2012  
22 Current response date: December 6, 2012  
23 New response date: January 4, 2013

24 Action filed: October 26, 2012  
25 Trial Date: None set  
26  
27  
28

1 On December 5, 2012, the parties filed with the Court a Stipulation seeking  
2 to extend the deadline by which Defendant Reaction Nutrition must respond to the  
3 Complaint until January 4, 2013. In support of the Stipulation, Reaction Nutrition  
4 submitted that good cause exists to grant the Stipulation because; (1) Reaction  
5 Nutrition just recently retained undersigned counsel, and undersigned counsel  
6 requires additional time to evaluate and investigate the allegations and claims set  
7 forth in the Complaint; (2) no party will be prejudiced by the relief sought and  
8 Plaintiff consents to the requested extension; (3) trial will not be delayed because  
9 the Court has not set any trial date or other deadline in this case; and (4) no party  
10 has required any extension of any deadline in this action.

11 Upon consideration of the Stipulation, and for good cause shown, **IT IS**  
12 **HEREBY ORDERED** that Defendant Reaction Nutrition shall respond to the  
13 Complaint by no later than January 4, 2013.

14 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

15  
16 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
The Honorable Gary A. Feess  
United States District Judge